(1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

CIVIL REVISION NO. 140 OF 2005 **SINGLE BENCH**

APPLICANT : Babulal Bansal, Son of Shri Tarachand Agrawal, aged about 39 years, Resident of Village Baghima, Tahsil Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 16321 Presented by Shri Rajesh Singh dated 24/6/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENT : State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Collector, Surguja, Ambikapur (C.G.).

CIVIL REVISION UNDER SECTION 115 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(36)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक C.R.No. 140/2005 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	25/01/2006 Shri Sushil Dubey, Counsel for the applicant. Shri Akhil Mishra, Panel Lawyer for the non-applicant No.1/State. Heard on M.C.P. No.1682/2005, an application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that under the instant revision he has challenged the order dated 29/09/2004 passed by the Court below. He further submits that the revision has been filed by a delay of 171 days along with an application for condonation of delay. Learned Panel Lawyer for non-applicant/State submits that for condonation of delay, no satisfactory ground has been assigned by the applicant in his application. From bare reading of the application, it is manifest that for condonation of delay of 171 days no sufficient ground has been assigned in the application, therefore, the application deserves to be dismissed and is accordingly dismissed. As the applicaton for condonation of delay has been dismissed, therefore, the revision against the order dated 29/09/2004 is also liable to be dismissed.	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(37)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
raju	Heard on revision against the order dated 07/05/2005. The order dated 07/05/2005 is passed on a review application filed by the applicant before the Court below for reviewing the order dated 29/09/2004. The learned trial Court by a well reasoned order, has dismissed the review application which does not call for any interference. In the result, the revision is dismissed. Sd/- V.K.Shrivastava Judge	